U.S. Appln. No.: 10/593,818 Atty Docket No.: 006921.00018

Response to Office Action dated January 20, 2010

Response dated March 26, 2010

Amendments to the Claims

The listing of claims replaces all previously-filed versions.

- 1. (currently amended) A method of preventing a user from activating a mobile telephone by accidental manipulation of input means of the telephone, comprising the steps of:
 - [[-]]detecting a change of state of motion of the telephonea terminal, followed by
 - [[-]]determining an absence of user-induced activity in the telephoneterminal,
- [[-]]depending on the detected change of state of motion and depending on the determined absence of user-induced activity, activating an input means lock function lock in the telephone terminal, depending on the detected change of state of motion and depending on the determined absence of user-induced activity.
- 2. (currently amended) The method according to claim 1, wherein said detecting a change of state of motion comprises the steps of:
 - [[-]]detecting that the telephone terminal is substantially at rest, followed by
 - [[-]]detecting that the telephone-terminal is in motion.
- 3. (currently amended) The method according to claim 1, wherein said step of determining an absence of user-induced activity in the <u>telephone terminal</u> includes monitoring, during a first predetermined time period, any activity induced by a user and, when said first time period has lapsed and user-induced activity has not been detected, establishing an absence of user-induced activity.
- 4. (currently amended) The method according to claim 1, commencing with wherein said detecting comprises:
- ——[[-]]detecting a change of state of motion of the <u>telephone_terminal</u> is in which the <u>telephone_terminal</u> is in motion, to a state in which the <u>telephone_terminal</u> is substantially at rest and, having detected that the <u>telephone_terminal</u> is substantially at rest, continuing with the <u>remaining</u> steps of claim 1.

U.S. Appln. No.: 10/593,818 Atty Docket No.: 006921.00018

Response to Office Action dated January 20, 2010

Response dated March 26, 2010

- 5. (currently amended) The method according to claim 4, wherein said step of detecting that the <u>telephone terminal</u> is substantially at rest includes monitoring, during a second predetermined time period, any motion of the <u>telephone terminal</u> and, when said second time period has lapsed and motion of the <u>telephone terminal</u> has not been detected, establishing that the <u>telephone terminal</u> is substantially at rest.
- 6. (previously presented) The method according to claim 1, where detecting motion includes detecting acceleration in any spatial direction.
- 7. (currently amended) An mobile telephone apparatus capable of being prevented from being accidentally activated through user manipulation of input means of the telephone, comprising means for:

a processor; and

memory storing instructions that, when executed by the processor, cause the apparatus to at least:

- [[-]]detecting-detect a change of state of motion of the telephone apparatus,
- [[-]]determining-determine an absence of user-induced activity in the telephoneapparatus,
- [[-]]activating-activate an input means-lock function in the telephone apparatus, depending on the detected change of state of motion and depending on the determined absence of user-induced activity.
- 8. (currently amended) The telephone apparatus according to claim 7, wherein said means for the instructions that, when executed by the processor, cause the apparatus to determining determine an absence of user-induced activity in the telephone apparatus includes instructions that, when executed by the processor, cause the apparatus to:

means for monitoring, during a first predetermined time period, <u>for activity indicative of</u> any activity <u>purposefully</u> induced by a user <u>with respect to a functionality associated with the apparatus</u> and,

when said first time period has lapsed and <u>activity indicative of purposeful</u> user-induced activity has not been detected, establishing an absence of <u>purposeful</u> user-induced activity.

U.S. Appln. No.: 10/593,818 Atty Docket No.: 006921.00018

Response to Office Action dated January 20, 2010

Response dated March 26, 2010

9. (currently amended) The <u>telephone apparatus</u> according to claim 7, where<u>in</u> the <u>means</u> for instructions that, when executed by the processor, cause the apparatus to detecting a change of state of motion includes include instructions that, when executed by the processor, cause the apparatus to:

means for detecting acceleration in any spatial direction.

10. (currently amended) A computer <u>program readable medium comprising software</u> instructions that, when executed by a terminal, cause the terminal to:

capable of performing a method according to claim 1 detect a change of state of motion of the terminal,

determine an absence of user-induced activity in the terminal,

activate an input lock in the terminal, depending on the detected change of state of motion and depending on the determined absence of user-induced activity.

- 11. (new) The method of claim 1, wherein detecting a change of state of motion of the terminal comprises determining that a motion detector included in the terminal has triggered an interrupt.
- 12. (new) The apparatus of claim 7, further comprising: a motion detector,

wherein the instructions that, when executed by the processor, cause the apparatus to detect a change of state of motion of the apparatus include instructions that, when executed by the processor, cause the apparatus to determine that the motion detector has triggered an interrupt.

13. (new) The computer readable medium of claim 10, wherein the instructions that, when executed by the terminal, cause the terminal to determine an absence of user-induced activity in the terminal include instructions that, when executed by the terminal, cause the terminal to determine an absence of a depression of a key located on the terminal.